

\* .  
\* .

---

(91)

)

(

:

.1

(72.2%)

.2

.3

:( )

### Abstract

**The attitude towards distance learning technology and its related with some variables with post graduate students at Palestinian universities**

The study was conducted to identify the attitudes of post graduate students in Palestinian universities. The sample consisted of (91)male and

---

\*

....

female post graduate students enrolled in higher studies programs in the Education colleges in Al-Aqsa, AlAzhar and the Islamic University. The researchers employed the descriptive analytical approach, .Besides, they designed and used an attitudes towards distance learning scale. Data analysis uncovered the following findings:

(1)-post graduate students attitudes as measured by all the scale domains. was (72.2%). This indicated that the subjects' attitudes towards distance learning was positive.

(2)-There were no statistically significant differences in the subjects' responses attributable to sex and academic level.

(3)-There were statistically significant differences in the subjects' attitudes towards distance learning due to the university variable and in favour of Gaza Islamic University.

**(Keywords): The attitude, distance learning technology, graduate student**

:

(2010 )

(2013 2012 )

( Potter& Naidoo, 2012, p.95)

2015

.( 2009 )

(Distance learning)

.(2012 )

) (50.000)

(130)

.(2006

( )

....

WebCT

( )

.(2005 )

)

2015

.

(

:

.

)

(

WebCT

. ومن هنا فإنه

:

يمكن صياغة

:

.1

....

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ ) .2

( - )

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ ) .3

( - )

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ ) .4

( - - )

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ ) .5

( - - )

:

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ ) .1

( - )

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ ) .2

( - )

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ ) .3

( - - )

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ ) .4

( - - )

2015

.

:

:

.1

.2

.( )

:

:

.1

.2

.3

.4

:

:

.2013-2012

:

.1

:

.2

.( )

:

.3

....

:

:

:

.

:

:

.

:

:

WebCT

.

:

( )

.

:

:

:



2015

. .

.(2008 )

.(2007 )

)

.(2008

....

.(2008 )

: **.1**

"

.(15: 2012 )"

: **.2**

(UNESCO, 2002,:2)

:

:

2015

(2010 )

:

.3

(WebCT)

:

.4

:

:

:

:( )

:

:

....

:

.

:

.

.(2008 )

:

.5

:

:

.

:

.(9 :2008 )

:

(web2)

Moodle :

.(Mobile learning)

:

:

:

:

.

(2013)

2015

(2012)

)  
(491) ( - - - -  
(228)  
( 46.44 )  
(61)  
)

(

:

(81.33%)

(80.12%)

(2011)

(32)

:

....

(2010)

(20)  
(20)  
(19)

( )

(120)

(SPSS)

(0,93)

:

(2013)

( )

(151)

2015

(2012)

( )

:

( )

)

( )

.(

)

( )

.(

( )

( )

(2010)

(28

(118)

.( 5.00)

( 3.76)

.( 3.33)

( 3.78)

....

(Mahdizadeh et al, 2008)

(Wageningen)

(178)

(%43)

(Ho, 2007)" "

(16)

(Lowe & Borstorff 2006)



2015

(113)

(%88)

%79

(2005)

( )

(162)

(%71)

(115)

(%0,83)

(%7,70)

(96)

(110)

(2005)

(105)

....

(Gupta , 2004)

(65)

E course

(%86)

(%53)

%79

(%7)

:

(2012)

2015

(2011)

(2012)

(2010)

( )

(2005)

(Mahdizadeh et al , 2008)

Ho )

(,2007

2006)

(Lowe & Borstorff

(2005)

(Gupta , 2004)

....

:

:

:

:

( )

:

(1)

(91)

(1)

17		35		66		49	
58		27		25		42	
16		29					
91		91		91		91	

:

2015

(4)

(35)

)

(

)

(

(1,2,3,4,5)

(5,4,3,2,1)

:

"

":

(15 :1988 )

:

:

(

:

:

(

":

(72 :1982 )

"

....

:

(2)

( )

0.01	1	.	.1
0.01	.646(**)		.2
0.01	.506(**)	.	.3
0.01	.404(**)	.	.4
0.01	.708(**)		

.708(\*\*)

(0.01)

\*

(0.01)

(1)

:

" :

.(261 :1982 )

:(Cronbach Method )

:

(Cronbach Alpha)

(.903)

.

:

:

.

.1

)

(4)

.2

2015

(  
(35)  
.3  
.4  
(.  
.5  
.6  
:  
:  
:  
.1

(3)

■ فقد عد الباحثان أن كل من حصل على علامة أعلى من (3) ذو اتجاه إيجابي ومن حصل علامة أقل من (3) ذو اتجاه سلبي.

(3)

1	74.8%	.49	3.74	.
3	72.8%	.56	3.64	
4	69.6%	.61	3.48	.
2	74%	.80	3.70	.
	<b>72.2%</b>	<b>.51</b>	<b>3.61</b>	

....

(3)

(72.2%)

(2)

( )

(74.8%)

:

(4)

2	82.4%	.77	4.12		.1
3	77.8%	.97	3.89		.2
4	76.8%	.96	3.84		.3
5	71.8%	.91	3.59		.4
1	87%	.65	4.35		.5



2015

6	71.4	1.00	3.57		.6
7	56.6%	1.14	2.83		.7
72.8%		.56	3.64		

) (5.1) (4)

(

) (1) (87%)

(82.4%) (

) (7)

(

(56.6%)

(5)

6	70.8%	1.04	3.54		.1
1	83%	.72	4.15		.2
9	66%	1.10	3.30		.3

....

8	67.8%	1.03	3.39		.4
7	69.2%	.94	3.46		.5
4	72.6%	.97	3.63		.6
3	76.4%	.90	3.82		.7
2	78.4	.65	3.92		.8
4	71.2%	.97	3.56		.9
69.6%		.61	3.48		

) (5)

) (2) (

(

) (3) (83%)

(66%) (

2015

(6)

11	67.6%	1.17	3.38		.1
13	66%	1.02	3.30		.2
7	69.2%	1.06	3.46		.3
1	79.2%	.65	3.96		.4
9	68%	1.02	3.40		.5
14	64%	1.19	3.20		.6
5	71.4%	1.10	3.57		.7
4	72.2%	1.03	3.61		.8
6	70.2%	1.01	3.51		.9
11	67%	.97	3.35		.10
10	67.8%	1.05	3.39		.11
8	69%	1.07	3.45		.12
3	73.6%	1.02	3.68		.13

....

2	75.8%	.88	3.79		.14
	69%	.65	3.45		

) (6)

(

) (4)

) (14) (79.2%) (

(73.6%) (

) (6)

(

.(64%)

(7)

4	73.6%	.98	3.68		.1
2	75.8%	.79	3.79		.2
1	76%	1.00	3.80		.3
3	74.2%	1.00	3.71		.4
5	70.8%	1.08	3.54		.5
	<b>74%</b>	<b>.80</b>	<b>3.70</b>		

2015

(76%) ( ) (3) (7)  
 ( ) (2)  
 (5) (75.8%) ( )  
 ( )  
 (70.8%)  
 : :  
 ( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

( - )  
 TwoIndependent ) (T)  
 (Samples T Test

( - ) : (8)  
 (8)  
 ( - )

	t				/	
.651	.167	.51	3.75	49		
		.47	3.73	42		
.693	-1.087	.57	3.53	49		
		.59	3.66	42		
.845	-1.688	.65	3.34	49		
		.64	3.57	42		

....

.337	-1.661	.84	3.57	49		
		.72	3.85	42		
.688	-1.455	.53	3.50	49		
		.53	3.67	42		

$\alpha=0.05$

sig =(.688)

(8)

(2005)

(2012)

.

:

:

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

( - )

(T)

(Two Independent Samples T Test)

: (9)

( - )

(9)

( - )

	t					
.803	.965	.50	3.77	66		
		.47	3.66	25		
.713	1.287	.59	3.64	66		
		.55	3.46	25		

2015

.670	-.172	.66	3.44	66		
		.66	3.47	25		
.306	-.031	.77	3.70	66		
		.88	3.71	25		
.809	.445	.53	3.59	66		
		.53	3.54	25		

$\alpha=0.05$

sig =(.809)

(8)

:

:

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

( - - )

(One Way ANOVA)

: (10)

(10)

( - - )

( One Way ANOVA)

		"				/
.023	3.960	1.067	2	2.135		
		.270	88	23.718		
			90	25.853		

....

$\alpha=0.05$       sig =(0.023)      (10)

.(11)  
(11)

			/	
.51	3.77	35		
.60	3.49	27		
.431	3.43	29		
.53	3.58	91		

(11)

.(3.43)      (3.49)      (3.77)  
(11)

(3.77)

.(0.05)      (0.023)

:

:

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

( - - )  
( One Way ANOVA)



2015

(12)

(12)

( - - )

		"				/
.650	.433	.126	2	.252		
		.291	88	25.601		
			90	25.853		

$\alpha=0.05$

sig =(.650)

(12)

(2012)

:

:

.1

(72.2%)

)

.2

(

(74.8%)

....

(69.6%)

"

.3

.4

.5

.6

2015

.7

-1

-2

-3

-4

-5

-6

-7

-8

-9

-1

-2

....

-3

-4

:

2012 : .1

2013: .2

1982 : .3

2008 : .4

.17 2008 38 :

2007 : .5

35-5 (20) :

2012 : .6

2005 : .7

(12)

2010 : .8

.271-235 (1 +2) 26 -

2005 : .9

: 2006 : .10

2015

2011: .11

2010 : .12

، (الطبعة الأولى

.13. أمانة لجنة مسؤولي التعليم عن بعد:2005،  
( الصفاة، الكويت.

2011 : .14

2013: .15

، :

**Moodle**

2012 : .16

1988 : .17

1998 : .18

2008 : .19

<http://homeeconomics.mountada.biz/montada-f19/topic.t490.htm#3619>

2012 : .20

- المجلد الأول - العدد (2).

....

2013 : .21

.116-103 (35)

2008 : .22

2009 : .23

2008 : .24

25. Borstorf, P & .Lowe, S: 2006, E-learning, attitudes and behaviors of end-users. Allied Academics International Conference .Academy of Educational Leadership Proceedings.53-45 :(7)12 ‘
26. Gupta, B., White, D & .Walmsley, A: 2004,The attitudes of undergraduate students and staff to the use of electronic learning .British Dental Journal ‘ .492-487 :(8)196
27. Ho, W: 2007, Music student's perception of the use of multi-media technology at the graduate level in Hong Kong higher education .Pacific Education Review.26-12 :(1)8 ‘
28. Mahdizadeh, H.; Biemans, H & .Mulder, M. :2008, Determining factors of the use of e-learning environments by university teachers .Computers and Education.154-142 :(1)51 ‘
29. Potter, C. & Naidoo, G :2012, Teacher development through distance education: contrasting visions of radio learning in south African primary schools, In J. Moore & A. Benson (Ed.), International Perspectives Of Distance Learning In Higher education,(pp. 54-108), Croatia: Intec Janeza Trdine.
30. UNESCO : 2002 , Open and distance learning: Trends, policy and strategy consideration,Paris: UNESCO.