

2014 196-168

()
ISSN 2070 - 3147

*

(331)

Abstract

Causes and Forms of University Violence From Students Perspectives

This Study aimed at identifying the causes and Forms of University Students Violence and its relationship with students academic level and gender (Male, Female).

The sample of the study consisted of (331) Male and Female Students from Petra University. Two Questionnaires were used, causes of University Violence and Forms of Violence.

The Study revealed the following findings:

- 1- The Level of Violence was at moderate degree.
 - 2- There were significant differences in the causes of Violence between students of first academic level and both third and fourth levels.
 - 3- The Psychological Violence was the most common form, followed by Physical, and Violence against properties.
-

*

2014

.(Kim,2005)

(2006)

(2006)

(257:)

169

....

(2002)

.(2007 2009 Calvillo2000)

\

:

(Dullard&Miller)

(Baron,1998)

(Bandura)

(Freud)

)

.(2009

2014

(Allis)

.(2007)"

: ()

2009

2008

).
.(2007

(1999)

2014

.1

.2

.3

.4

()

....

:

:

:

:

2012-2011

(Motoko,2001)

922

(2003)

174

2014

(Adrienne,2003)

306

(2004)

(2006)

33

117

(2007)

10

175

.....

(2008) ●
340

(Angela et al, 2008) ●
()

2500

(2009) ●
100 645
200

(2010) ●
2100

176

2014

(2010)

1000

(2010)

(2010)

(2006)

5743

2012-2011

177

....
:(1)

583	284	299	
2659	691	1968	
1312	785	527	
619	342	277	
570	116	454	
5743	2218	3525	

:
(331)

;
;

(331)

(2)

:
(2)

%49.2	163		
%50.8	168		
%100	331		
%24.5	81		
%7.6	25		
%28.1	93		
%9.7	32		
%30.2	100		
%100	331		

2014

%15.7	52		
%30.8	102		
%26.3	87		
%27.2	90		
%100	331		

(15)

(15)

(50)

.26-12

.39-27

.50-40

%80

179

0.58 -0.32

(30)

(3)

.0.80

: (3)

0.67	
0.76	
0.61	
0.73	
0.82	

(3)

(3)

(50)

(1)

(2)

150-50

.2

180

2014

.8-1

.17-9

.25-18

%80

.0.61-0.30

(30)

0.78

(4)

:(4)

0.87	
0.86	
0.87	
0.89	

.....
:
(3) (25)

(3)

75-25

:
:

(÷ -)
1.66

2.33-1.67

(5) 2.34

:(5)

	3	0.37	2.21	
	4	0.39	2.18	

2014

	1	0.38	2.35	
	2	0.36	2.32	

(5)

: : :

(6)

(6)

: : :

	0.63	2.44			.1
	0.81	2.39			.2
	0.71	2.36			.3
	0.78	2.29			.4
	0.75	2.22			.5
	0.81	2.22			.6
	0.79	2.21			.7
	0.77	2.21			.8
	0.81	2.04			.9
	0.79	2.00			.10
	0.75	1.96			.11
	0.76	2.21			

.....

: (6)

.....

: (7)

: (7)

:

	0.65	2.43	.	(1)
	0.75	2.40	.	(2)
	0.76	2.38	.	(3)
	0.73	2.32	.	(4)
	0.76	2.25	.	(5)
	0.82	2.25	.	(6)
	0.75	2.22	.	(7)
	0.76	2.19	.	(8)
	0.75	2.16	.	(9)
	0.81	2.16	.	(10)
	0.74	2.08	.	(11)
	0.81	2.05	.	(12)
	0.78	2.02	.	(13)
	0.80	1.88	.	(14)
	0.76	2.20	.	

2014

: (7)

: (8)

	3.33	2.72		.1
	0.66	2.51		.2
	0.71	2.44		.3
	0.70	2.42		.4
	0.70	2.41		.5
	0.76	2.37		.6
	0.74	2.36		.7
	0.75	2.35		.8
	0.76	2.33		.9
	0.72	2.33		.10
	0.71	2.32		.11

....

	0.74	2.32	.	.12
	0.74	2.27	.	.13
	0.82	2.21	.	.14
	0.92	2.38		

:

(8)

❖

:

:(9)

:(9)

	0.71	2.56	.	1
	0.66	2.52	.	2
	0.70	2.47	.	3
	0.70	2.46	.	4
	0.62	2.42	.	5
	0.72	2.36	.	6
	0.73	2.35	.	7
	0.76	2.34	.	8
	0.74	2.06	.	9
	0.80	2.03	.	10
	0.78	1.95	.	11
	0.71	2.32		

2014

(9)

(10)

(10)

0.01	3.76	0.50	3	1.50		
		0.13	3.27	43.49		
			330	45.00		
0.01	4.26	0.62	3	1.86		
		0.15	327	47.68		
			330	49.55		
0.11	1.99	0.42	3	1.26		
		0.21	327	69.19		
			330	70.45		
0.37	1.06	0.14	3	0.41		
		0.13	327	42.56		
			330	42.97		

....
(10)

0.05

(4.26 3.76)

.(11)

: (11)

0.33*	0.22*	0.10	-		
0.10	0.12	-	-		
0.05	-	-	-		
-	-	-	-		
0.33*	0.25*	0.12	-		
0.12	0.16	-	-		
1.09	-	-	-		
-	-	-	-		

:

" "

.(12)

2014

: (12)

0.05	1.99	0.34	2.25		
		0.39	2.17		
0.01	2.45	0.39	2.24		
		0.38	2.13		
0.72	-0.36	0.41	2.37		
		0.51	2.39		
0.96	-0.05	0.33	2.33		
		0.39	2.33		

(12)

2.33 -1.76 1.66 2.34

(13)

....
:(13)

				()	
		.74	2.53	.	1
		.69	2.50	.	2
		.69	2.44	.	3
		.74	2.41	.	4
		.72	2.40	.	5
		.75	2.38	.	6
		.79	2.38	.	7
		.74	2.34	.	8

(13)

.(2006) (2009)

.(1999)

2014

(Kim,2005)

(2010)

(2009)

(1

(2

(3

(4

()

(Goleman, 1998)

(2007)

(2010)

(2004)

(2009)

2014

2006

(2004)

2010

(2009)

)

(1999)

(1998)

(2006)

.....
:
. (2009) ➤
— (2002) ➤
. (2009) ➤
— (2006) ➤
. (2006) ➤
. (2003) ➤
— (2007) ➤
. (2007) ➤
— (1999) ➤
2 3
. (2006) ➤
— (1999) ➤
. (2004) ➤

2014

(1998) ➤
- - - (2006) ➤
- - - (2007) ➤
- - - (2007) ➤
- - - (2010) ➤
- (2) (3)
- - - (2010) ➤
(3) (1)
- - - (2009) ➤
- - - (2) (5)
- - - (2009) ➤
(2) (12)
- - - (2006) ➤
- - - (2004) ➤
- - - (37-15 (82)
- - - (2006) ➤
337 (2007) ➤

....

:

- Angela, G; Kaukinen; C and Kathleen; F (2008). The relationship between violence in family and dating violence among College students, Journal of inter personal violence, V.(23), N, (12) Newyork.
- Adrienne ,S,(2003), Adolescent dating violence and self efficacy. University of Vitoria (Canad) Ph.D, Dissertation.
- Baron, R. (1998). Psychology, 4th. Ed.Boston: Allyn & Bacon, 43-79.
- Calvillo, D.(2000). The Theoretical development of aggression, California State University, Bakers Field. U.S.A.
- Ellis, A.(1990). Rational & Irrational beliefs in counseling Psychology, Journal of Rational emotive Therapy, Vol, 8(4)221-233).
- Goleman, D.(1998). Working with Emotional Intelligence, Newyork. Bantan Publishing Group.
- Kim, M.(2005). Defense Mechanisms and Self- reported violence toward strangers, Bulletin of the Menninger Clinic 69(4).305-312.
- Maltin, M. (2000). The Psychology of Woman.. Harcourt College Publisher. U.S.A.
- Motoko, A (2001). School violence in Middle School years in Japan and United states, the effect of academic completion on student violence. The Pennsylvania state Uneasily Degree DAL.
- Schwartz, W. (1996). An overriow of strategies Reduce School Violence, ERIC. (ED410321).
- Tomas, J.(2001). Social Skills. And naturalistic experience. Effectiveness inducing externalized aggressive behavior among primary school students. Doctoral Dissertation, California State Uinversity. Dissertation Abstracts International, 40(1) 240.